

## City of Powell, Ohio

### MEETING MINUTES OCTOBER 7, 2014

A regular meeting of the Powell City Council was called to order by Mayor Jim Hrivnak on Tuesday, October 7, 2014 at 7:30 p.m. City Council members present included Jon Bennehoof, Frank Bertone, Richard Cline, Tom Counts, Mike Crites and Brian Lorenz. Also present were Steve Lutz, City Manager; David Betz, Development Director; Debra Miller, Finance Director; Megan Canavan, Communications Director; Gene Hollins, Law Director; Susie Ross, City Clerk; interested parties and members of the Press.

#### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

### CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

Mayor Hrivnak opened the meeting to Citizen Participation for items not on the agenda. Hearing none, he closed the Citizen Participation session.

### APPROVAL OF MINUTES

MOTION: Councilman Cline moved to adopt the minutes of September 16, 2014. Councilman Crites seconded the motion. By unanimous consent, the minutes were approved.

### EXECUTIVE SESSION: EXECUTIVE SESSION IN ACCORDANCE WITH O.R.C. SECTION 121.22 (G) (3), PENDING LITIGATION.

MOTION: Councilman Cline moved at 7:35 p.m. to adjourn into Executive Session in accordance with O.R.C. Section 121.22 (G) (3), Pending Litigation. Councilman Crites seconded the motion.  VOTE: Y_7_ N_0_
MOTION: Councilman Cline moved at 7:50 p.m. to adjourn from Executive Session. Councilman Crites seconded the motion. VOTE: Y $_{-}7_{-}$ N $_{-}0_{-}$
MOTION: Councilman Counts moved at 7:50 p.m. to reconvene in Regular Open Session. Councilman Bennehoof seconded the motion. VOTE: $Y = 7$ N = 0

FIRST READING: ORDINANCE 2014-54: AN ORDINANCE TO SUBMIT A PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT, ENTITLED "AN AMENDMENT TO THE CITY CHARTER OF POWELL, OHIO TO SUBSTITUTE THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN OF THE VILLAGE OF POWELL OF DECEMBER 1995 WITH A NEW COMPREHENSIVE PLAN FOR ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT IN THE CITY OF POWELL, OHIO" TO THE ELECTORS OF THE CITY OF POWELL, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY. (Revised 10.06.2014)

Steve Lutz, City Manager, said on September 19th the Ohio Supreme Court found that the proposed Charter Amendment violated Eubank v. Roebridge and City Council did not have a legal duty to put the measure on the November 4<sup>th</sup> ballot. On September 29<sup>th</sup>, in a Motion for Reconsideration, the Ohio Supreme Court found, after further reflection, that it was premature for them to assess the constitutionality of the proposed ordinance and directed that it be placed on the ballot. Mr. Lutz said on October 2<sup>nd</sup> the City filed a motion for Clarification to get a little more information about the ruling and on October 6<sup>th</sup> they received a response denying clarification from the Court. Therefore tonight they have an ordinance that would place the proposed Charter Amendment on the November ballot. He asked that the Law Director go into the details of this matter.

Gene Hollins, Law Director, said they stand ready, willing and able to comply with the Supreme Court's order to get this submitted to the electors. Their motion on October 2<sup>nd</sup> was to gain clarification because the ordinance would have to be put on the ballot at a Special Election pursuant to the Ohio Constitution and November 4<sup>th</sup> would have been the one they could have chosen initially; by the time the Court reversed themselves and issued the Mandamus it was not an election date that was not less than 60 nor more than 120 days from the date they would act on the ordinance. They asked for clarification as to whether the Special

Election date was intended to be November 4th. The City must get the proposed Charter Amendment out to all of the electorate and typically they prefer to send it out by mail so they know the electors have all of the verbiage since it does not go on the ballot with all of the verbiage. Mr. Hollins said they can also do newspaper advertising in the Public Notice section of the newspaper of General Circulation. By the Ohio Constitution it says they should do that notice 30 days prior to the ballot date and they also asked the Court if they intended it to be on the November 4th ballot or another date so they could comply with that requirement. On Monday, October 6th the Court declined to clarify their ruling so this is their first opportunity to call this meeting. It happens to be the same as their first regular meeting this month. The ordinance was drafted to submit it to the Board of Elections with a request that it be put on the November 4, 2014 ballot and they are also directing the Clerk to either mail a copy to every elector or give the appropriate newspaper publication at the earliest practical date before the election. Mr. Hollins said they are attempting to do everything within their power to comply with the Court's order.

Mayor Hrivnak opened Ordinance 2014-54 to public comment.

Brian Ebersole, 215 Squires Court, said this Charter Amendment was first submitted to Council on August 5th and it was deemed to table even though it was supposed to be acted on "forthwith" or "immediately" pushing it back two weeks to August 19th. Even though they were not allowed to consider the constitutionality or substance of the amendment the Council voted it down. They have gone through Court and September 29th the Court ordered the City to put this on the ballot at the Board of Elections. Mr. Ebersole said they were to do that by any means necessary but instead of sending it over to the Board of Elections and getting it on the November 4th ballot the Law Director waited three days and posted memos to the Court asking for clarification because apparently when the Court says to put it on a specific date that could have a mistake; that sounds unbelievable. Mr. Ebersole said in the memo Mr. Hollins cited that he anticipated contesting the Board of Elections hearing. He said the Law Director wanted to delay to a Special Elections to allow time for that. The Courts already said they are the only ones where were able to determine the constitutionality of the Charter Amendment so that would be the City telling the Court that they specifically intend not to act as the Court is telling them to.

Mr. Ebersole said Council should have sent it to the Board of Elections on September 29th and that was eight days ago. When it is sent to the Board it will be nine days. The Court clarified yesterday morning that they cannot have a Special Election and Council still did not hold a Special Meeting yesterday to send it to the Board of Elections. Days are ticking away and Council is still waiting until tonight, wasting two more days. As far as he can tell this is obviously a clear delay tactic by Council to give the developers a chance to contest this at the Board of Elections even though they are not allowed to do that, give more time for Council to pass more developments before this is voted on in February, and to cause more confusion. It has been very clear to everyone here that for months they have been telling Council that they do not want "high density" housing in Downtown Powell and this is what this is all about. Council is still working against the people while they represent them and are giving opportunities to developers that they do not want building in the Downtown area, doing things they shouldn't be doing. Mr. Ebersole said the residents want Council to be on their side but they are not listening to them at all. He said it is "us versus them" and they should be representing them. He said they are looking for Council to show good faith that they are going to do something to listen to the residents, not the bad faith they have seen so far in the last year. Quite honestly, it has gotten to the point now that they are pretty good at these petitions and they are considering that the next step is to start doing recalls. They should consider that as they continue to work against the residents.

Hearing no further public comment, Mayor Hrivnak closed the public comment session.

	Councilman Cline the motion.	moved to suspen	d the rules in regard to (	Ordinance 2014-54.	Councilman Lorenz
VOTE:	Y <u> </u>	N <u>0</u>			
MOTION: C	Councilman Cline	moved to adopt (	Ordinance 2014-54. Cour	ncilman Crites secon	ded the motion.
VOTE:	Y <u> </u>	N <u>0</u>			

## RESOLUTION 2014-19: A RESOLUTION STATING POWELL CITY COUNCIL'S INTENTION TO MAKE IMPROVEMENTS TO THE INTERSECTION OF LIBERTY ROAD AND SELDOM SEEN ROAD.

Mr. Lutz said earlier this year they brought forward an opportunity for the City to make improvements to the intersection of Seldom Seen and North Liberty Street. A portion of that intersection is located within the County's jurisdiction and a portion is within the City's jurisdiction so they have worked together to apply for Federal funds to help them make improvements. The grant will provide 80% of the funding and the remainder would be split

evenly between the County and the City. A study of the intersection has been conducted to look at a single lane roundabout versus a signal with northbound left turn lanes on Liberty Street. The engineering study identified that both of those improvements could work and slightly better results would be achieved with a traffic signal. If the grant is received either option could be implemented, based on further studies.

Mr. Lutz said this resolution allows them to move forward and submit the grant application to see if they receive the funding. If they do, engineering would take place in 2015-2016 and construction would take place in 2017-2018. The Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission (MORPC) recently added a provision that would fund 25% of the engineering costs if this project is authorized under the Transportation and Improvement Plan.

Councilman Bennehoof said the attachment to the Resolution refers to Figure 8 but it was not included. It shows the existing location of the drive and the proposed location of the new connection. Mr. Lutz said not all of the attachment was provided because of the size of the document.

Rob Rice, City Engineer, said with the tapers required for either the addition of left turn lanes or approach to the roundabout will adversely impact the entrance to the church. They are considering the relocation of that entrance from direct access to North Liberty; it is public right-of-way but it has not been discussed with the Ashmoore Homeowner Association at this early stage. Councilman Bennehoof asked which of the options, a roundabout or a signal, would be less expensive. Mr. Rice said the feasibility study has indicated that a roundabout would be slightly more expensive because of the construction improvements and potential right-of-way acquisition. They will consider both options.

Mayor Hrivnak opened this item to public comment. Hearing none, he closed the public comment session.

MOTION: Councilman Cline moved to adopt Resolution 2014-19. Councilman Bennehoof seconded the motion. By unanimous consent, Resolution 2014-19 was adopted.

# SECOND READING: ORDINANCE 2014-49: AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR SANTER COMMUNITIES FOR A PROPOSED FOR SALE TOWNHOME DEVELOPMENT OF 22 UNITS AND A COMMERCIAL BUILDING ON 3.688 ACRES AT 110 SOUTH LIBERTY STREET.

**Public Hearing** 

Mr. Lutz said this will be the third time they have reviewed this proposed development with City Council.

David Betz, Development Director, said this project is for 22 residential units in 11 buildings, and the addition of a commercial building at the front of the development. This was reviewed by Planning & Zoning Commission and many changes were made to the plan to accommodate for things within the Comprehensive Plan and Downtown Revitalization Study that allows for a mixed use development in this area. This development creates a possible north/south roadway that would eventually connect to the west to Liberty Street and to the north to Olentangy Street. This plan also complies with the Downtown Business District zoning requirements. He reviewed the site plan, landscape plan and architectural renderings for the buildings. The ordinance identifies many conditions for approval of the Final Development Plan.

Mayor Hrivnak opened this item to public comment.

Dave Hartline, 150 Glen Abbey Court, repeated points of discussion from previous meetings. He said he was on camera in a news story about the apartments in Downtown Powell and someone at the local Barber Shop recognized him. He was told his heart was in the right place but he was wasting his time. He said the City had 20 years to figure this out and they haven't; people sign petitions and take them to Council and no one listens. He was told that 80-90 percent of the people are against this but he does not know how this development has happened. Mr. Hartline said they really need to put a hold on all of these developments, figure out their strategy and not put the cart before the horse. Council has heard a lot of frustration at the last few meetings and it is not them personally but everything rolled together. If they can work with the residents to figure out a plan and realize that no one is against developers or development per se. If they do not do that they will have an increasingly angry electorate. It is not fair to ask people who live here to change their ideas. As elected officials they need to listen to and work with the people, put a hold on this and take time to figure this out. He thinks then everyone would be happy with the results.

<u>Brian Ebersole, 215 Squires Court</u>, said there are a number of arguments about why they do not want high-density housing in the Downtown Powell area. The main one is traffic but this community voted in a TIF and this development will not pay into the school system while potentially adding children to the school system. He asked when City Staff and Council saw the financing for the Santer Communities development. With the Center

at Powell Crossing that was recently passed, it was mentioned by Mr. Betz that he had not seen financing but he knew the developer. The zoning code says they need to see financing and it is hard to think that the Powell Crossing development was passed without it. It leads him to believe that there are other developments and this one where they have not seen financing information. Mr. Ebersole said he would like to know why they would go forward with this when they have not seen whether this developer could run out of money and leave an eyesore for the community. He wanted to know why that had not been considered in the past.

Mr. Lutz said he would like to provide clarification because a comment was made that this development will not be paying into the school system and that is not true at all. This property, like any other property, will be paying their property taxes and their full share of the school taxes.

Sharon Valvona, 225 Squires Court, said on page 65 of the Revitalization Plan it calls for large landscape buffer areas. The developer's plan for this calls for 15-18 five foot pine trees planted in a row and these trees will only provide about 33% coverage in a property line that is 275' wide. The builder mentioned it will take at least five years for these trees to grow into an effective shield. She contends that it will take much longer given the small area where they will be planted. The real issue is not enough planting area for a large buffer and this does not meet the dense landscape requirements of the Revitalization Plan. Ms. Valvona said she is also concerned because the developer has claimed he has bent over backwards to meet the concerns of the residents. Creation of a large water runoff/retention area was required and it is questionable to think that is meeting and over-meeting the needs of the residents. The digging of the 200' drainage ditch six feet deep right over the property line will risk damaging and weakening the root systems of the trees on the private property owners' land. No impact study from an arborist was required or even a review of that issue mentioned at the Planning & Zoning Commission. Very little attention was paid to the current house and the commercial/retail building by that Commission and there have been no use limits set. Ms. Valvona said these are additional concerns in terms of the impact this will have on the property owners adjacent to this development.

Janet Wartman, 130 S. Liberty Street, said the plan shown is not the one that has been shown in the past; it does not show the north-south road going all the way to her property line. Mr. Betz said these are the same plans that have been used throughout the review process; he provided the specific plan that shows that detail. Ms. Wartman said they are being discriminatory by providing 80' of setback and trees for Bartholomew Run and she only gets 10 feet and very few trees. This is unfair to her because she owns the majority of the property that is on this property line. If they want a road they should put it through the area shown. That would be the cheapest way to help the taxpayers if that is really what they want to do. They will not have an opportunity through her property. She will be an advocate for the people who will live in this community because the parking and turnarounds are not good; there is no room to turn around and big vehicles, fire trucks and snow plows should be considered. She wonders if they will put the snow that is plowed on her property. They should remove the building that is ten feet from her pond and make it so everyone would have a turnaround and snowplows would have some place to dump snow. She does not want the snow or drainage on her property. If that building is taken out and make the road go around, everyone can use it. There are many people now who have garages and cannot get their cars into them. They need to allow for that turnaround. If they do not do that they will come to her and ask for road through her property to solve these problems. She should not have to provide those solutions for the City. She will be the first one to tell them she told them so; she has been telling them that since the 1980's.

Hearing no further comments, Mayor Hrivnak closed the public comment session.

Councilman Cline asked if there are variances requests for this development. Mr. Betz said there are not. Councilman Cline asked if all of the buffering on the south edge is within the code standards. Mr. Betz said it is because this zoning district is the same to the south and the north. The Planning & Zoning Commission unanimously approved this development.

Councilman Crites asked if they talked to the Police and Fire Departments with respect to the road. Mr. Betz said the Fire Department is fine with turning radii and they wanted it to be extended as it is so they have a chance to turn around.

Mayor Hrivnak asked if there were any issues with the Planning & Zoning Commission regarding the financing. Mr. Betz said this is a known developer in Central Ohio and has done several projects and has not defaulted. They can look at that while the engineering is being reviewed. The developer has testified that he has the financing to purchase the property and complete the development.

Comments were made from the audience. Mayor Hrivnak indicated the public comment session is closed.

Mr. Betz said he has not seen a pro forma for the project and they are not usually requested. In the code there is a provision that asks for a statement from the developer saying whether he can finance the project or not. The applicant stated that information in their application packet.

Councilman Bennehoof said the road would come to the southern boundary because of fire truck radius. Mr. Betz said the Fire Department wants the ability to come into both side streets and come around. When they review these types of developments they generally allow for room to stop and a little space for signage and/or a blockade. That will come into the plan when they do the engineering. Councilman Bennehoof asked to see the rendering that shows the road. He asked if there is a possible solution that will address Ms. Wartman's concern about the road and encroachment on her property or there is some other level of buffer to use. It looks like there is an adequate buffer as shown. Mr. Betz said they will have to ask the Fire Department about what they would approve.

Chip Santer, Santer Communities, said there is no encroachment and they prefer it as shown in the rendering. Mr. Lutz has stated that eminent domain is not used so it is unlikely the road connection will be made. This plan was drawn to yield to the wishes of the Fire Department. Councilman Bennehoof said it seems reasonable accommodation to treat the southern end of that road as a stub and that can be a future amendment to the plan. Mr. Santer said if the Fire Department agrees they are fine with that. Mr. Betz said Staff will follow up with the Fire Chief. Ms. Wartman asked where the snow will be placed. Mr. Betz said Staff will make that determination under their review required in Condition 15 of the ordinance. The detention base is being pinched down so they can add a lot more screening in the center area and that falls under one of the conditions that requires review of the engineering plans. The detention goes from the property line and dips down; the outlet is already there at a certain elevation, disallowing the ability for them to put the detention in the back area or underground. Those areas were preferred by everyone.

Councilman Bertone asked if it is correct that the rendering of the east side shows 90' from the back of the homes to the east. Mr. Betz said the setback is 92 feet from the back of the furthest unit to the property line. Councilman Bertone asked about the density for this project. Mr. Betz said this development is at 5.9 du/acre gross density. The code for Downtown Business allows for 7.

Councilman Crites said a speaker at the last meeting had a concern with vehicle lights penetrating the density of the landscaping. Mr. Betz said that is a condition of Council's approval and that is one specific item Staff will review in the final engineering and landscaping plans.

Councilman Bennehoof said there are no variances and the zoning is less than the 7 du/acres allowed; his neighborhood is around 5 du/acre and Councilman Cline's is around 3 du/acre. Councilman Cline asked if this is an administrative review as opposed to a legislative act. Mr. Hollins said that is correct and the Ohio Supreme Court has indicated that Final Development Plans in the Downtown Business District are administrative reviews.

MOTION: C	ouncilman Cline	moved to adopt Ordina	nce 2014-49. Councilman Counts seconded the motion	ղ.
VOTE:	Y <u>5</u>	N_1_ (Lorenz)	(Abstain – Bennehoof)	

## SECOND READING: ORDINANCE 2014-52: AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO A PRE-ANNEXATION AGREEMENT WITH SPECTRUM ACQUISITION POWELL, LLC AND LIBERTY C, LLC AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

Mr. Lutz said this proposed land acquisition is for the development of an assisted living facility. Earlier this year Council approved an independent living development for Spectrum and a memory care development for Ganzhorn. This ordinance is strictly approval of a Pre-Annexation Agreement and both the annexation and proposed development will be brought forth concurrently to Council.

There were no questions for Staff.

Mayor Hrivnak	opened this item	to public comme	nt Hearing none	he closed the	nublic comme	ant session
MICHAEL			m, neamid none.	. He clused the	<i>:</i> DUDIIC CUITIFE	31 H 202221CH I

MOTION:	Councilm	an Clin	e moved to	adopt Ordinand	ce 2014-52.	Councilman	Crites seconded	the motion.
VOTE:	Υ	7	N 0	•				

### FIRST READING: ORDINANCE 2014-53: AN ORDINANCE MODIFYING APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2014.

Mr. Lutz said they are proposing three changes to appropriations:

- Returning \$246,500.00 back to the General Fund from the Street Maintenance Fund. When the budget
  was prepared they planned to use General Funds to increase the Street Maintenance budget but they
  used bond money for that;
- Appropriates an additional \$25,000.00 for legal fees;
- Appropriates \$8,000.00 for the Parks & Recreation Programs because of the increases in enrollments.

Mayor Hrivnak opened this item to public comment. Hearing none, he closed the public comment session.

	councilman Benr conded the mot		suspend the rules in	regard to Ordinar	nce 2014-53. Council	lmar
VOTE:	Y <u> </u>	N <u>0</u>				
	ouncilman Cline Y <u>7</u>	moved to adopt (N_0_	Ordinance 2014-52. C	Councilman Crites	seconded the motio	n.

#### **COMMITTEE REPORTS**

**Development Committee:** Councilman Lorenz said they met earlier this evening and discussed temporary portable signs and they determined that Staff should do more community outreach and Planning & Zoning should review that sign code. In 2008 they implemented some temporary signage to help business owners communicate but over time a lot of these temporary signs have become permanent. They discussed other methods, time limits and blade signage. Staff was asked to provide more information at the December meeting about outreach on cross-parking agreements and shared liability insurance amongst different businesses to allow parking for the Downtown area. The discussion will continue in November regarding the moratorium on multi-family housing and how it plays with the Comprehensive Plan updates that are currently underway. *Next Meeting: Wednesday, November 5<sup>th</sup>*, 6:30 p.m.

**Finance Committee:** Councilman Cline said the budget process will begin at the next Finance Committee. The draft budget was distributed to Council tonight and he encouraged all members to review it and come to the meeting. Next Meetina: Tuesday, October 14<sup>th</sup>, 7:00 p.m.

**Operations Committee:** Councilman Counts said the Committee met last Tuesday and discussed the bid specs for the trash removal contract. They also heard an update on the website redesign and the salt shortage. Next Meeting: October 21st, 6:30 p.m.

**ONE Community:** Councilman Bennehoof said they have a First Responder Committee on October 10<sup>th</sup>. They hoped to have Dom Tiberi there to discuss at the Police and Fire requests, a distracted driveway program for the community. The Committee at its next meeting will review status of First Responder monument or park. Next Meeting: Monday, October 13<sup>th</sup>, 7:00 p.m.

**Planning & Zoning Commission:** Mr. Betz said they received a couple of Sketch Plans for review: a small commercial office/warehouse on Lincoln Street for a local businessman and a development of 40 one-, two-, three- and four-unit condos on 5.5 acres south of the Olentangy Swim Association Pool. It would incorporate an extension of Depot Street. Next Meeting: Wednesday, October 8<sup>th</sup>, 7:00 p.m.

Comprehensive Plan Steering Committee: Councilman Crites said they met on September 23<sup>rd</sup> and have concluded the "education" phase and are starting on the "engagement" phase. Ms. Canavan made an excellent presentation on the communications and media plan. They had presentations by the planning consultant and traffic consultant about timelines and the kinds of meetings to hold in the future. Next Meeting: Tuesday, October 28<sup>th</sup>, 6:30 p.m.

**Powell Community Improvement Corporation:** Mayor Hrivnak said in their recent meeting they finalized revised agreements for 44 N. Liberty, converting it from an incubator mode to a commercial lease mode for their tenant. They are re-establishing the agreement with HDPI that reduces their role. Next Meeting: TBA

### **CITY MANAGER'S REPORT**

Winter Road Salt Shortage

Mr. Lutz said this item has been and will continue to be in the Operations Committee. This is not something that only the City of Powell is experiencing; Mr. Snyder used the term "salt crisis" and that is very accurate. This Week in Olentangy has done a great job in covering this situation that involves all of the other communities as well. During a typical winter the City goes through about 1,500 tons of salt. Our salt bin holds 500 tons of salt so they typically need to refill it three times. Mr. Lutz said that is their Achilles' heal and will continue to be; as they talk about the park at Seldom Seen, one of the features considered is a salt dome at Adventure Park so they can store a season's worth of salt. The City has gone out to bid and is limited in the amount they can get because the salt companies do not have enough salt to provide to everyone. They were able to get 285 tons so they will have only about half of the salt they typically have. Mr. Lutz said last year they paid \$48/ton for salt and this year it will cost \$116 per ton. The Operations Committee is discussing how they can communicate the expectations to the residents. They will not have bare pavement throughout the community this year as they

have in past years. They are even discussing the possibility of salting only the main primary streets. He said this report will give the Council a heads up and this will be something they will communicate to the residents throughout the year. The entire region is facing this salt shortage and cost issues. Councilman Cline asked if the new dome would keep them from losing salt due to the weather. There is a certain amount of attrition that goes with that. Mr. Lutz said the City's salt buildings are covered on three sides and they lose some but not much. They hope to get a season's worth of salt at one time instead of being low in a snow storm and hoping the salt is delivered in time.

### OTHER COUNCIL MATTERS

There were none.
EXECUTIVE SESSION IN ACCORDANCE WITH O.R.C. SECTION 121.22 (G) (3), PENDING LITIGATION.  MOTION: Councilman Cline moved at 8:50 p.m. to adjourn into Executive Session in accordance with O.R.C. Section 121.22 (G) (3), Threatened or Pending Litigation; Section 121.22 (G) (2), Land Acquisition; and Section 121.22 (G) (1) Personnel, Wages & Benefits. Councilman Crites seconded the motion.  VOTE: Y_7 N_0
MOTION: Councilman Lorenz moved at 9:40 p.m. to adjourn from Executive Session. Councilman Bertone seconded the motion. VOTE: $Y\_7$ $N\_0$
MOTION: Councilman Counts moved at 9:40 p.m. to reconvene in Regular Open Session. Councilman Cline seconded the motion. VOTE: $Y_7 = N_0$
RESOLUTION 2014-20: A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE APPROVAL OF THE TENTATIVE AGREEMENT ACHIEVED IN COLLECTIVE BARGAINING BETWEEN AFSCME, AFL-CIO, OHIO COUNCIL 8 AND THE CITY OF POWELL, OHIO, AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY AND APPROPRIATE ACTIONS TO FINALIZE THE COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT NEGOTIATED BETWEEN THE PARTIES.
MOTION: Councilman Cline moved to adopt Resolution 2014-19. Councilman Counts seconded the motion. VOTE: $Y\_7$ N_0_
MOTION: Councilman Bertone moved to adjourn at 9:41 p.m. Councilman Crites seconded the motion. VOTE: $Y\_7$ N_0_
MINUTES APPROVED: October 21, 2014
Jim Hrivnak  Date  Date  Date  Date  Date
Side of the second seco

City Council Jim Hrivnak, Mayor Tom Counts Mike Crites

7

Richard Cline

Brian Lorenz